# CHIRAL SULFUR-REAGENTS FOR THE PREPARATION OF OPTICALLY ACTIVE EPOXIDES

A. Solladié-Cavallo \* and A. Adib

Laboratoire de stéréochimie organométallique associé au CNRS, EHICS,

1 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg, France.

(Received in Belgium 24 January 1992)

Abstract: Acyclic chiral sulfides which could be easily synthesized in both enantiomeric forms leading to poor yields and/or to racemic epoxides, Eliel's oxathiane reagent was used and proved to provide chiral trans diarylepoxides in high yield (70-80%) and enantiomeric purities up to 70-100%, with no rearrangment problems. It was also found that phase-transfer conditions were the easiest and the most efficient for these reactions.

Résumé: Nous avons montré que l'oxathiane d'Eliel est un bon réactif récupérable d'époxidation. Le benzylsulfonium correspondant conduit en effet à des trans diarylépoxydes dont la pureté optique peut atteindre 70 à 100% avec des rendements d'environ 80%. Les conditions de transfert de phase conduisent aux meilleurs résultats.

#### Introduction

During work on the asymmetric synthesis of adrenergic drugs<sup>1-4</sup> we have been confronted with the difficulty of obtaining optically pure epoxides and decided to investigate the use of chiral and optically pure sulfur-ylides to convert aldehydes into chiral epoxides.

Since the first report by Johnson and coll. in 1961<sup>5</sup> that sulfur-ylides could react with substituted benzaldehydes to give epoxides, the method has been extensively developed <sup>6-9</sup>.

A number of reports<sup>10-13</sup> have also indicated that sulfonium-ylides were pyramidal at sulfur and were thus capable of exhibiting optical activity.

The first attempt to prepare optically active styrene oxide by this method has been performed by Trost and coll. in 1973<sup>14</sup>, the reagent was the optically active adamantylethylsulfonium methylide 1 obtained from the corresponding resolved sulfonium, the chirality was at the sulfur-atom but the asymmetric induction happened to be nil.

Recently Furukawa and coll.<sup>15</sup> and then Durst and coll.<sup>16,17</sup> have reported the preparation of various trans-stilbene oxides in 43-47% e.e. (yield 20%)<sup>15</sup>, 64-83% e.e. (yield=27-41%)<sup>16</sup> and >96% e.e. (yield=32-38%)<sup>17</sup> using respectively the optically pure ylides 2, 3 and 4, where the chirality was also situated at carbon-atoms.

## Results

We want to report here our results concerning the use of sulfides 5a, 5c, 6 and 7.

Because sulfide 5b is available in optically pure form (both R or S) from the method developed by Solladié and coll. <sup>18</sup> the less expensive racemic sulfide 5a was investigated first to check if the desired epoxide was formed and if the sulfide could be recovered; the two necessary conditions for the reaction to be synthetically useful.

The racemic sulfide 5a was synthesized in 5 steps and 46% total yield from benzoyl chloride as shown on scheme 1. The corresponding methylsulfonium salt 11a was obtained in 90% yield as a 65/35 mixture of the two possible diastereomers and happened to provide in the Corey's conditions<sup>19</sup> the desired styrene oxide 15 but in low yield (20%).

Furthermore no starting sulfide 5a could be recovered, only the vinyl sulfide 14 was obtained in about 20% yield. This suggested that the equilibrium between the two possible ylides 12a and 12a' was displaced toward 12a' through decomposition into sulfonium 13a' whose ylide 13a then provided styrene oxide 15 and the vinyl sulfide 14, scheme 1.

Sulfide 5c with no methoxy-group was then synthesized in 87% yield from thiophenol, scheme 2, and the corresponding sulfonium salt 11c was obtained as a 55/45 mixture of the two diastereomers. Under Corey's conditions<sup>19</sup> 11c gave only  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene and methylphenylsulfide suggesting that under these conditions the zwitterion 17 was formed as the main species, scheme 2. However under phase-transfer conditions the styrene oxide 15 was obtained in high yield, scheme 2.

#### Scheme 2

Optically pure sulfide 6-(+)-R with no methoxy and/or phenyl-groups was synthesized using Oppolzer's chiral auxiliary<sup>20</sup>, 18.

Sultam A 18, obtained in 50% total yield from (+)-S-camphorsulfonic acid<sup>20,21</sup>, provided in 4 steps the (+)-R-sulfide 6, scheme 3. It must be noticed that, with BuLi as a base, compound 20 was obtained as a single diastereomer in accord with literature results, the expected absolute configuration at C2 being  $R^{22}$ .

Under the liquid-solid two-phase Furukawa conditions <sup>15</sup> optically pure sulfide 6 provided, after chromatography, 50% of  $\alpha$ -hydroxy- $\beta$ -methoxyethylbenzene 22 but <u>racemic</u>; the starting sulfide 6 was recovered in 50% yield. This method was used in this case because it offered a way to save the expensive optically pure sulfide 6 which, in these conditions, worked as a mediator to transfer an alkyl group to the aldehyde and was used in non-stoichiometric ratio. However a clear limitation arised from the formation of MeOH (from the MeI used) and subsequent *in situ* opening of the epoxide formed <sup>23</sup>.

#### Scheme 3

NH
$$SO_{2}$$
(CH2)<sub>5</sub>

$$SO_{2}$$
(CH2)<sub>5</sub>

However the  $\alpha$ -hydroxy- $\beta$ -methoxy-ethylbenzene obtained being racemic, we turned to a cyclic and rigid sulfide.

Eliel's reagent, oxathiane 7, was obtained in the usual way<sup>24</sup>, scheme 4.

#### Scheme 4

S-Alkylation of sulfide 7 with PhCH<sub>2</sub>Br in the presence of  $AgClO_4$  afforded the sulfonium salt 23 in 70% yield. The  $^1H$  NMR spectrum showed only one diastereomer (no splitting of any of the proton signals), it could thus be expected that, in basic medium, 23 will yield only one ylide.

Reaction of sulfonium salt 23 with benzaldehyde and substituted-benzaldehydes under phase-transfer conditions afforded only the trans-epoxides 24 in satisfying yield together with the starting sulfide 7. The results are given in the table.

Trans Epoxide 24a-d					recovered Sulfide 7
R-(CHO)	yield	$egin{pmatrix} \left[lpha ight]_{ m D} \ ({ m conc.})^{ m a} \end{array}$	e.e.%	config.	yield%
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	80%	+169 (1.1)	72% <sup>b</sup>	RR	82%
$p-NO_2-C_6H_4$	60%	0° (1.15)	0%b		80%
p-CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	75%	+92 (0.7)	26-32% <sup>d</sup>	RR	78%
p-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	82%	+223 (1.35)	62-100% <sup>e</sup>	RR	80%

Table: Preparation of arylphenylepoxides from 23 and under PTC

a) In EtOH, b) E.e.% determined by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR using Eu(hfc)  $_3$  as chiral reagent, by comparison with the racemic epoxide, c) In CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ , d) E.e.% determined from the different  $[\alpha]_D$ Max given in ref. 25:  $[\alpha]_D$ Max= +300 to +351, see also ref. 26. e) E.e.% determined from the different  $[\alpha]_D$ max given ref. 25:  $[\alpha]_D$ Max= +350 to +362 and ref. 15:  $[\alpha]_D$ Max= +214

No cis-epoxides were observed on the  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectra (200 MHz) of the crude products $^{25}$ . After separation (flash chromatography) the e.e.'s of the epoxides were determined by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR using Eu(hfc) $_3$  as chiral shift reagent and/or using the optical rotations when the  $[\alpha]_{\text{Max}}$  were given in the literature $^{15,25,26}$ .

It appeared that, in the four cases studied, the chemical yields are larger, 60-80%, than those generally obtained in the literature<sup>15-17</sup> (20-40%) and that the enantioselectivity was 72% for transepoxide 24a and close to 100% for transepoxide 24d. We do not yet have any clear understanding about the 0% e.e. obtained in the case of epoxide 24b.

The (+) rotations obtained clearly indicated that the configuration<sup>17</sup> of the major epoxides was 2R, 3R. As already invoked by Durst and coll.<sup>17</sup> this diastereoselectivity can be interpreted in terms of a preferred conformation A in the ylide<sup>10-12</sup> together with a sterically-directed approach of the aldehyde as shown on scheme 5.

#### Scheme 5

#### Conclusion

Eliel's oxathiane 7 appeared to be an efficient reagent for the preparation of trans diarylepoxides leading to high yields (about 80%) and to optical purities up to 72% and 100%. Furthermore, the starting oxathiane is recovered (no elimination possible) and can be used again.

It appeared also that the phase-transfer conditions, which allow to avoid undesirable side reactions was the most efficient method for the preparation of these aryl-epoxides.

## **Experimental** part

Infra-Red spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 257 ( $\nu$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H (200 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (50 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-200 ( $\delta$  in ppm refered to TMS,  $\Delta\nu$  and J in Hz). Rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 MC. M.p. were determined (uncorrected) on a Reichert Microscope. Flash-chromatography was performed using silicagel 70-230 Mesh purchased from Merck. Kieselgel 60 F<sub>254</sub> (from Merck) were used for TLC. All the solvents were distilled before use: THF over Na/benzophenone, Et<sub>2</sub>O over LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, HMPT over calcium hydride, DMF and nitromethane over CaSO<sub>4</sub>. All the reagents were reagent grade purchased from Aldrich and/or Janssen and used without further purification.

Synthesis of 1-methoxy-1-phenyl-2(phenylthio)ethane: 5a

## a) 1-phenyl-2-[(phenyl)sulfinyl]ethanone: 8a

To a solution of LDA (42.8 mmol) in THF (60ml) at -30°C was added dropwise a solution of methyl phenyl sulfoxide (3g, 21.4 mmol) in THF (50 ml). The temperature was allowed to reach 0°C and the mixture stirred for 20 min. The temperature was then lowered to -78°C and a solution of benzoyl imidazolide [ 32 mmol; prepared from imidazole (4.36g, 64 mmol) and benzoyl chloride (37ml, 32 mmol)] in THF (40 ml)] was added slowly. After 2h at -78°C, the mixture was quenched with a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (100ml). After extraction with ethyl acetate (3 x 100ml) the combined organic phases were washed successively with a 5%  $H_2SO_4$  solution (10ml), a saturated NaCl solution (2 x 100ml) and dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ . After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum the residue was purified by chromatography (AcOEt/hex, 3/2); yield 70%.

Pale yellow solid, m.p.  $60-65^{\circ}$ C.  $R_f = 0.42$  (AcOEt/hex.,3/2).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v_{CO}=1680$ .

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 4.44 (2H, AB system,  $\Delta v_{AB}$ = 50,  $J_{AB}$ =14); 7.5 (6H, m, H arom); 7.7 (2H, m, H arom); 7.9 (2H, m, H arom).

#### b) 1-phenyl-2-[(phenyl)sulfinyl]ethanol: 9a

To a solution of the above β-keto-sulfoxide 8a (0.5g, 2.05 mmol) in THF (5ml) was added ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (0,167g, 1.23 mmol), after 10min. stirring the temperature was lowered to -78°C and a 1M solution of Dibal in toluene (4.1 ml, 4.1 mmol) was added dropwise. After 1h stirring, methanol (10ml) and water (10ml) were added and the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 x 20ml). The combined organic layers were washed with a 5% NaOH solution (2x10ml), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified by chromatography (AcOEt/hex/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 5/3/2): yield 84%, only one diastereomer observed<sup>18</sup>.

White solid, m.p. 100-103°C.  $R_f = 0.3$  (AcOEt/hex/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 5/3/2).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v_{OH} = 3400$  (broad).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): one diastereomer (cf.ref.18) ; 3.10 (2H, AB part of an ABX,  $\Delta v_{AB}$ =50,  $J_{AB}$ =13,  $J_{AX}$ ~2.5,  $J_{BX}$ ~9.5) ; 5.42 (1H, X part of the ABX, d.d, CH) ; 7.37 (5H, m, H arom) ; 7.52 (3H, m, H arom) ; 7.67 (2H, m, H arom).

## c) 1-phenyl-2-phenylthioethanol: 10a

To a suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0.064g, 1.624 mmol) in  $\rm Et_2O$  (10ml) was added a solution of the above hydroxysulfoxide 9a (0.2g, 0.82mmol) in THF (10 ml). After stirring at room temperature for 1h were added successively a 5% NaOH solution (6ml) and water (0,13ml). The THF was evaporated and the acqueous layer extracted with ether (3 x 10ml). The organic layer was dried over  $\rm Na_2SO_4$ , evaporated under vacuum and the residue purified by chromatography (AcOEt/hex, 3/2): yield 73%.

IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>):  $v_{OH}$ = 3620, 3540 (broad).

Pale yellow oil.  $R_f=0.58$  (AcOEt/hex, 3/2).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDC1<sub>3</sub>/TMS) : 2.85 (1H, d, J=2.5, OH) ; 3.22 (2H, AB part of an ABX,  $\Delta v_{AB}$ =48,  $J_{AB}$ =13.5,  $J_{AX}$ -9,  $J_{BX}$ -3.5, CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 4.73 (1H, X part of the ABX, m, CH) ; 7.4 (10H, m, H arom.).

## d) 1-methoxy-1-phenyl-2-phenylthioethane: 5a

To a suspension of NaH (0.155g, 6.46 mmol) in THF (10ml) was added dropwise a solution of the above hydroxy-sulfide 10a (0.62g, 2.7 mmol) in THF (10ml). After stirring for 0.5h at room temperature CH<sub>3</sub>I (0.4 ml, 6.46 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred overnight then water (1.5ml) was added slowly and the THF was evaporated under vacuum. The residue dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10ml) was washed with water (2 x 10ml), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. The residue is purified by chromatography (AcOEt/hex, 10/90): yield ~ quantitative.

Colourless oil. R<sub>f</sub>=0.43 (AcOEt/hex, 1/9).

IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): no  $v_{OH}$ ,  $v_{OMe}$ = 2820.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS) : 3.15 (1H, A part of an ABX,  $J_{AB}=13$   $J_{AX}^{-4.5}$ , CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.25 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.32 (1H, B part of the ABX,  $J_{AB}=13$ ,  $J_{BX}^{-8}$ , CH<sub>2</sub>); 4.30 (1H, X part of the ABX, dd, CH); 7.4 (10H, m, H arom.).

Synthesis of 1-methyl-1-phenyl-2-phenylthioethane: 5c

## a) B-Bromoisopropylbenzene.

Allyl bromide (87.5g, 0.72 mol) was added dropwise to a mixture of benzene (210 ml) and concentrated sulfuric acid (41 ml) at 40°C. Vigorous stirring and 40°C were maintained for 5h, then the reaction mixture was allowed to stand overnight. The benzene layer was separated, washed successively with concentrated sulfuric acid (30 ml), water (30 ml) and 5% acqueous NaOH (100 ml), and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After concentration under vacuum the residue was distilled: b.p 103-105°/15mm Hg. Yield: 45%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 1.45 (3H, d, J= 7, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.17 (1H, X part of an ABXK<sub>3</sub>, sext., J= 7, 7.5 and 6.5, CH); 3.54 (2H, AB part of the ABXK<sub>3</sub>,  $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ = 18,  $J_{AB}$ = 10,  $J_{AX}$  7.5,  $J_{BX}$  6.5, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.42 (5H, m, H arom.).

#### b) 1-methyl-1-phenyl-2-phenylthioethane: 5c

To a suspension of NaH (0.27g, 11.16 mmoles) in DMF (10ml) was added slowly a solution of thiophenol (1.23ml; 11.16 mmol) in DMF (5ml) and stirring maintained for 30 minutes. A solution of the above prepared bromide (2g, 10.15 mmol) in DMF (10ml) was then added dropwise and stirring maintained for 1h after the end of the addition. The mixture was then washed with  $H_2O$  (30ml) and extracted with AcOEt  $(3 \times 10\text{ml})$ . The organic layer was washed with a 5% NaOH solution (10ml),

dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated, the residue was purified by chromatography to give the sulfide: yield 87%.

Colourless oil.  $R_f = 0.15$  (hex).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 1.43 (3H, d, J= 6.5, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.10 (3H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH); 7.3 (10H, m, H arom.). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{16}S$ : C, 78.94; H, 7.01. Found: C, 79.20; H, 7.24.

Synthesis 1-phenylthio-2-methyloctane: 6

## a) N-octanoylbornane-10,2-sultam: 19

A solution of sultam (-)-18 (1.7g, 7.9 mmol) in toluene (30ml) was added dropwise at room temperature to a stirred suspension of NaH (0.52g, 11.84 mmol). After 2h stirring a solution of octanoyl chloride (32ml, 16.58 mmol) in toluene (30ml) was added slowly and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 2 more hours. After careful addition of water (20ml), the organic layer was separated, washed with a 15% NaOH solution (5ml), water (20ml) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated and the residue purified by chromatography to give 19: yield 92%.

Pale yellow oil.  $R_f=0.25$  (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 3/7).

 $[\alpha]_D$  = -88 (c, 1.4; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\nu_{CO}$  = 1700.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS) : 0.86 (3H, t, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 0.96 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.15 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.27 (12H, m, 6CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 1.66 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 1.88 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 2.08 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 2.70 (2H, AB part of an ABX<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>-CO); 3.45 (2H, AB system,  $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ =14,  $J_{AB}$ =13.5, CH<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub>) ; 3.89 (1H, X part of an ABX, dd, CH-N).

# b) (2R)-N-[(2-methyl)octanoyl]bornane-10,2-sultam: 20

To a solution of octanoyl sultam 19 (2.5g, 7.32 mmol) in THF (40ml) was added slowly and at  $78^{\circ}$ C a 1M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (7.32ml, 7.32mmol). The mixture was stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 1h, then CH<sub>3</sub>I (1.37ml, 22mmol) in a mixture of THF (5ml) and HMPT (0,5ml) was added dropwise. After stirring at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 4h, a 0.1N solution of citric acid (10ml) and water (40ml) were added. The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 x 30 ml), the combined organic phases were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum, the residue was purified by chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 3/7): yield 80%.

White solid, m.p. 58-60°C. R<sub>f</sub>=0.43 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 3/7).

 $[\alpha]_D = -91$  (c, 1.1; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\nu_{CO} = 1700$ .

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>(TMS) : 0.86 (3H, t, CH<sub>3</sub>); 0.97 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.12 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.17 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.25 (12H, m, 6CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 1.70 (4H, m, 2CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 2.08 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 3.05 (1H, m.CH-CO) ; 3.47 (2H, AB system,  $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ =14,  $J_{AB}$ =13, CH<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub>); 3.89 (1H, X part of an ABX, t, CH-N).

#### c) (2R)-1-hydroxy-2-methyloctane: 21

A solution of 20 (2.6g, 7.3 mmol) in a 1/3 mixture of THF and Et<sub>2</sub>O (40ml) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (18.2 mmol,0,69g) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (30ml) at 0°C. After stirring at 0°C for 3h, a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (40ml) was added then the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 x 20ml), the combined organic phases were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent evaporated under vacuum and the residue purified by chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 20/80) to give the starting sultam 18 (80%) and the desired alcohol 21, yield: 75%.

Viscous oil.  $R_f=0.17$  (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex., 2/8).

 $[\alpha]_D = +38.2$  (c, 0.24; EtOH). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\nu_{OH} = 3600$ , 3400.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 0.89 (3H, t, CH<sub>3</sub>); 0.92 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.28 (11H, broad signal, 5CH<sub>2</sub>+OH): 1.6 (1H, m, CH); 3.47 (2H, AB part of an ABX,  $\Delta v_{AB}$ =17,  $J_{AB}$ =10,  $J_{AX}$ ~6.5,  $J_{BX}$ ~5.5; CH<sub>2</sub>OH)

## d) (+)(2R)-1-phenylthio-2 methyl octane: 6

Sulfide 6 was synthesized in one step from alcohol 21 using Tanigawa's method<sup>27</sup>.

To a suspension of NaH (0.09g, 3.65 mmol) in DMF (5ml) was added dropwise a solution of the alcohol 21 (0,5g, 3.5 mmol) in DMF (2mL), the mixture was stirred for 0.5h at room temperature then a solution of (N-methyl-N-phenylamino)triphenylphosphonium iodide (1.8g, 3.65 mmol) and thiophenol (0.37ml; 3.65 mmol) in DMF (10ml) was added. After stirring for 12h, water (30ml) was added, the mixture was then extracted with  $Et_2O$  (4 x 10ml). The combined organic layers were washed with HCl 0.1N (10ml), dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by chromatography ( $Et_2O$ /hex, 10/90) to give sulfide 6, yield 85%.

Colourless oil.  $R_f=0.63$  (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 1/9).

 $[\alpha]_D = +26$  (c, 3.8; CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 0.88 (3H, t, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.02 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.30 (10H, broad signal, 5CH<sub>2</sub>) ; 1.75 (1H, m, CH) ; 2.85 (2H, AB part of an ABX,  $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ =25,  $J_{AB}$ =12,  $J_{AX}$ ~7,  $J_{BX}$ =6, CH<sub>2</sub>-S) ; 7.3 (5H, m, H arom).

## Preparation of oxathiane 7 (Eliel's reagent)

This reagent was prepared following the usual method <sup>24</sup>.

 $R_f = 0.65$  (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 2/98).  $[\alpha]_D = +12$  (c, 2.15; acetone).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 0.92 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.27 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.43 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.4-2 (8H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH) ; 3.35 (1H, td, J=10 and 4, CH-O) ; 4.70 (1H, A part of an AB,  $J_{AB}$ =11, S-CH<sub>2</sub>-O) ; 5.03 (1H, B part of the AB,  $J_{AB}$ =11, S-CH<sub>2</sub>-O).

# Synthesis of sulfonium salts 11a and 11c.

A solution of  $Me_3OBF_4$  (1.8 ml, 0.64 mmol) in  $CH_3NO_2$  (2ml) was added to a solution of the desired sulfide, 5a or 5c (0.49 mmol) in  $CH_3NO_2$  (2ml). After stirring for 3h at room temperature  $CH_3NO_2$  was evaporated.

## -Methylphenyl [(α-methoxy)phenylethyl] sulfonium tetrafluoroborate: 11a.

After evaporation of CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, the residue was dissolved in a minimum of MeOH and the salt precipitated by addition of Et<sub>2</sub>O to give after filtration a white solid: yield 90%. m.p. 58-63°C (diastereomer mixture).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): two diastereomers in the ratio 65/35. I(major): 3.15 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.27 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.95 (1H, d.d, A part of an ABX,  $J_{AB}$ =13,  $J_{AX}$ ~4,  $CH_2$ ); 4.05 (1H, d.d, B part of the ABX,  $J_{BX}$ ~10,  $CH_2$ ); 4.45 (1H, d.d, X part of the ABX); 7.4 (5H, m, H arom); 7.7 (3H, m, H arom.); 8.0 (2H, m, H arom.). II(minor): 3.2 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.35 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.7 (1H, d.d, A part of an ABX,  $J_{AB}$ =13,  $J_{AX}$ ~10;  $CH_2$ ); 4.15 (1H, d.d, B part of the ABX,  $J_{BX}$ ~3,  $CH_2$ ); 4.95 (1H, d.d, X part of the ABX); 7.4 (5H, m, H arom.); 7.7 (3H, m, H arom.):

## -Methylphenyl [( $\alpha$ -methyl) phenylethyl]sulfonium tetrafluoroborate: 11c

Oil.  $^{1}$ H NMR (Acet.d6 /TMS): Two diastereomers in the ratio 55/45. I(major): 1.40 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>): 3.04 (1H, m, CH); 3.34 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 4.2 (2H, m, overlapped with diast. II, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.3, 7.8 and 8 (10H, m, overlapped with diast.II, H arom). II(minor): 1.46 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.30 (1H, m overlapped with the CH<sub>3</sub> of diast.I, CH); 3.43 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 4.2 (2H, m, overlapped with diast.I, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.3, 7.8 and 8 (10H, m overlapped with diast.I, H arom.).

#### Synthesis of Sulfonium salt 23

To a mixture of oxathiane 7 (618mg, 3.09 mmol) and AgClO<sub>4</sub> (770mg, 3.71 mmol) in anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O (10ml) was added PhCH<sub>2</sub>Br (0.44ml, 3.71 mmol) at 0°C. After stirring at 0°C for 4h the solvent was evaporated and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10ml) was added, the AgBr precipitate was filtered out and the solution concentrated under vacuum. The solid was recrystallized in acetone/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, yield 75%. White solid, m.p. 164°C.

 $[\alpha]_D = -172$  (c, 1.02; acetone).

 $^1H$  NMR (Acetone d6/DMSO d6, 10/1/TMS). One diastereomer : 0.96 (3H, d, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.78 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.84 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 1.1-2 (7H ring) ; 2.36 (1H, td, J=10 and 3, CH ring junction) ; 4.05 (1H, td, J=10 and 4, CH-O ring junction) ; 4.97 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph) ; 5.1 (1H, d, A part of an AB,  $^1_{AB}$ =12, O-CH<sub>2</sub>-S); 5.80 (1H, B part of the AB, O-CH<sub>2</sub>-S) ; 7.5 and 7.62 (5H, m, H arom).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>27</sub>ClO<sub>5</sub>S: C, 55.36; H, 6.96. Found: C, 55.44; H, 6.70.

## Preparation of Epoxides

# a) Styrene oxide 15 from sulfides 5a and 5c using Corey's method 19

A 1.42M solution of nBuLi in hexane (0.47 ml, 0.67 mmol) was added dropwise to sulfonium salt 5a and/or 5c (0.67 mmol) in THF (10ml) at 0°C. After 0.5h, a solution of benzaldehyde (0.068 ml; 0.67 mmol) in THF (2 ml) was added. Stirring was maintained at 0°C for 1h and at r.t. for another 1h. After evaporation of THF under vacuum, water (20ml) was added and the new mixture extracted with ether (2 x 10ml). The combined organic phases were dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and the solvent evaporated under vacuum, the residue was analyzed by NMR before purification.

-Vinyl sulfide 14 from 5a.

Yield 20%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 6.75 (1H, d, A part of an AB,  $J_{AB} = 16$ , =CH); 6.92 (1H, d, B part of the AB, =CH); 7.5 (10H, m, H arom.).

-Styrene oxide 15 (racemic) from racemic 5c.

Yield 80% distilled, Eb. 100° (25mm Hg).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 2.8 (1H, dd, A part of an ABX,  $^2J = 5$ ,  $^3J_{trans} = 2.5$ , CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.15 (1H, dd, B part of the ABX,  $^2J = 5$ ,  $^3J_{cis} = 4$ , CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.87 (1H, dd, X part of the ABX, CH); 7.4 (5H, m, H arom.).

# b) $\alpha$ -Hydroxy- $\beta$ -methoxyethylbenzene 22 from (+)-R-6 using Furukawa's method 15

To a solution of sulfide 6 (0.18g, 0.75 mmol) and KOH (0.14g, 2.47 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (5ml) was added a mixture of benzaldehyde (0.23ml, 2.27 mmol) and CH<sub>3</sub>I (0.14ml, 2.27 mmol). After 18h, the mixture was filtred and evaporated. The residue was chromatographied (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 1/9) to give the starting sulfide 6 (89% recovered) and the opened styrene oxide,  $\alpha$ -hydroxy- $\beta$ -methoxyethylbenzene 22, (yield 53%).

 $-\alpha$ -Hydroxy- $\beta$ -methoxyethylbenzene 22 racemic from (+)-R-6.

Yield 50% (referred to starting 6),  $[\alpha]_D = 0$  (c, 1.12; CHC1<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 1.58 (1H, broad s, OH); 2.75 (2H, AB part of an ABX,  $\Delta v_{AB} = 20$ ,  $J_{AB} = 15$ ,  $J_{AX} = 5$ ,  $J_{BX} = 7$ , CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.3 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 4.45 (1H, X part of the ABX, CH); 7.35 (5H, m, H arom.).

c) (R,R)-1-aryl-2-phenyl oxirane 24a-d from sulfonium 23 using phase-transfer conditions

To a mixture of sulfonium salt 23 (300mg, 0,75 mmol), the desired benzaldehyde (1.12 mmol) and  $\rm Et_3 BuN^+Cl^-$  (catalytic amount) in  $\rm CH_2Cl_2$  (10ml) was added a 50% NaOH solution (3ml) at 0°C.

After stirring for 24h at 0°C, water (3ml) was added and the organic layer was separated and dried over Mg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hex, 2/98) to give the desired epoxide 24 and the starting sulfide 7.

## -(+)-24a from (+)-7.

Yield 80%.  $R_f = 0.24$  (AcOEt/hex, 2/98).  $[\alpha]_D$  cf text.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 3.9 (2H, s, CH); 7.39 (10H, broad s, H arom.). In the presence of Eu(hfc)<sub>3</sub> the 3.9 singlet split into two singlets in the ratio 87/13.

#### -Racemic 24b from (+)-7.

Yield 60%.  $R_f = 0.24$  (AcOEt/hex, 2/98).  $[\alpha]_D$  cf. Text.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 3.86 (1H, d, J = 1.7, CH); 3.98 (1H, d, J = 1.7, CH); 7.39 (5H, m, H arom.); 7;52 (2H, d, H arom.); 8.25 (2H, d, H arom.). In the presence of Eu(hfc)<sub>3</sub> the 3.86 and 3.98 doublets split into two doublets in the ratio 1/1 (non-equivalences: 0.05 and 0.15ppm respectively).

# -(+)-24c from (+)-7.

Yield 75%,  $R_F = 0.21$  (AcOEt/hex, 2/98).  $[\alpha]_D$  cf. text.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 2.40 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.87 (2H, AB system,  $\Delta v_{AB} = 4$ ,  $J_{AB}$ trans = 1.5, 2CH); 7.22 (2H, d, H arom.); 7.34 (2H, d, H arom.); 7.38 (5H, m, H arom.). The AB system non-equivalence being too small, no clear splitting appeared with Eu(hfc)<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 21.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>); 62.8 and 62.9 (CH); 125.5 (4 CH arom.); 128.3 (CH arom. para); 128.6 (2 CH arom.); 129.3 (2 CH arom.); 134.2 (C arom.); 137.3 (C arom.); 138.2 (C arom.).

## (+)-24d from (+)-7.

Yield 82%. White crystal, mp 95°C (lit. 100°C).  $R_f = 0.24$  (AcOEt/hex, 2/98).  $[\alpha]_D$  cf. text.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 3.74 (2H, AB system,  $\Delta v_{AB} = 5$ ,  $J_{AB}$ trans = 1.5, 2 CH); 7.18 (2H, d, H arom.); 7.25 (7H, m, H arom.). The AB system non-equivalence being too small, no clear splitting appeared with Eu(hfc)<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDC1<sub>3</sub>/TMS): 62.1 (CH); 62.8 (CH); 125.4 (2 CH arom.); 126.8 (2 CH arom.); 128.4 (1 CH arom.); 128.5 (2 CH arom.); 128.7 (2 CH arom.); 134.0 (C arom.); 135.6 (C arom.); 136.6 (C arom.).

#### References and notes

- 1) Solladié-Cavallo A.; Suffert J.; Gordon M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2955.
- 2) Solladié-Cavallo A.; Benchegroun M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2157.
- 3) Solladié-Cavallo A.; Simon M.C.; Farkhani D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 390.
- 4) Solladié-Cavallo A.; Benchegroun M. J. Organomet, Chem. 1991, 406, C15.
- 5) Johnson A.W.; Lacount R.B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 417.
- 6) Corey E.J.; Chaykovsky M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 867 and 3782.

- 7) Corey E.J.; Chaykovsky M. Org. Synth. 1969, 49, 78.
- 8) Johnson A.W. "ylid chemistry" Academic Press, NY, 1966.
- 9) Berti G. "Topics in stereochemistry" Ed. Allinger N.L. and Eliel E.L., Wiley-Interscience, 1973, vol.7, p.221-228.
- 10) Ratts K.W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 4707.
- 11) Nozaki H.; Tunemoto D.; Morita Z.; Nakamura K.; Watanabe H.; Takaku M.; Kondo K. *Tetrahedron* 1967, 23, 4279.
- 12) Cook A.F.; Moffatt J.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 740.
- 13) Darwish D.; Tomilson R.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5938.
- 14) Trost B.M.; Hammen R.F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 962.
- 15) Furukawa N.; Sugihara Y.; Fujihara H. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4222.
- 16) Breau L.; Ogilvie W.W.; Durst T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 35.
- 17) Breau L.; Durst T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 367.
- 18) By diastereoselective reduction of optically pure ketosulfoxides, cf: Solladié G.; Greck C.; Demailly G.; Solladié-Cavallo A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 5047 and Solladié G.; Demailly G.; Greck C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985, 26, 435.
- 19) Corev E.J.; Chavkovsky M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1353.
- 20) Oppolzer W.; Chapuis C.; Bernadinelli G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 1367.
- 21) Davis F.A.; Towson J.C.; Weismiller M.C.; Lal S.; Carrol P.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8477.
- 22) Oppolzer W.; Moretti R.; Thomi S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5603.
- 23) It is probable that this side reaction occurs mainly with alkyliodide as reagents.
- 24) Eliel E.L.; Lynch J.E.; Furnitaka K.; Frye S.U. Org. Synth. 1987, Vol.65, p.215.
- 25) Imuta M.; Ziffer H. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2505.
- 26) From one author to the other differences of about 110 to 140 are observed for the [a]<sub>D</sub>Max (in EtOH) of aromatic epoxides, (compare ref. 15, 25 and this work).
- 27) Tanigawa Y.; Kanamaru H.; Murahashi S.I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 4655.